



University of Groningen

## Prediction of Microbial Growth Rate versus Biomass Yield by a Metabolic Network with Kinetic Parameters

Adadi, Roi; Volkmer, Benjamin; Milo, Ron; Heinemann, Matthias; Shlomi, Tomer

*Published in:*  
PLoS Computational Biology

*DOI:*  
[10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002575](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002575)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Adadi, R., Volkmer, B., Milo, R., Heinemann, M., & Shlomi, T. (2012). Prediction of Microbial Growth Rate versus Biomass Yield by a Metabolic Network with Kinetic Parameters. PLoS Computational Biology, 8(7), [e1002575]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002575>

### **Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### **Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

## TEXT S1

### FVA formulation for MOMENT

The vector of enzyme concentrations,  $g$ , is unique due to the fact that each reaction is associated with a unique gene to reaction mapping, having different turnover number and molecular weight. We confirm this by applying a variant of Flux Variability

Analysis (FVA) on MOMENT's enzyme concentration vector, as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max / \min \quad g_i \\
 & \text{subject to} \quad Sv = 0, \\
 & v_{lb} \leq v \leq v_{ub}, \\
 & v_j \leq kcat_j \cdot g_i \dots \leftarrow \text{genes-to-reactions constraints}, \\
 & \sum g_i \cdot MW_i \leq C \left[ \frac{g_{protein}}{g_{DW}} \right], \\
 & c^T v = Z
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $Z$  is the optimal solution to the MOMENT problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max \quad c^T v \\
 & \text{subject to} \quad Sv = 0, \\
 & v_{lb} \leq v \leq v_{ub}, \\
 & v_j \leq kcat_j \cdot g_i \dots \leftarrow \text{genes-to-reactions constraints}, \\
 & \sum g_i \cdot MW_i \leq C \left[ \frac{g_{protein}}{g_{DW}} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

And  $c$  represents the biomass production's objective function.

### The growth rate scales linearly with the threshold on the total enzyme mass

Changing the total enzyme mass in the model scales the growth rate linearly and therefore does not change the Pearson correlation between the measured and the predicted growth rate. If we denote by  $f$  the flux distribution obtained by MOMENT when using a threshold  $C$  on the total enzyme mass, then  $\alpha f$  would be an optimal MOMENT solution when using a threshold of  $\alpha C$ . Notably, this results from the fact that  $v_{lb}$  and  $v_{ub}$  are either  $-\infty$ ,  $0$ , or  $+\infty$  for all reactions  $v$  in the model – as we assume that specific data on nutrient uptake and secretion rates is unavailable.